

QUANTUM PHYSICS VS RELATIVITY

QUANTUM PHYSICS VS RELATIVITY: UNRAVELING THE MYSTERIES OF THE UNIVERSE

QUANTUM PHYSICS VS RELATIVITY, THESE TWO PILLARS OF MODERN PHYSICS, REPRESENT OUR MOST PROFOUND YET SEEMINGLY IRRECONCILABLE DESCRIPTIONS OF THE COSMOS. AT FIRST GLANCE, THEY APPEAR TO OPERATE IN ENTIRELY DIFFERENT REALMS: QUANTUM MECHANICS GOVERNING THE INCREDIBLY SMALL, FROM ATOMS TO SUBATOMIC PARTICLES, AND RELATIVITY CHARTING THE COURSE OF THE ASTRONOMICALLY LARGE, INCLUDING STARS, GALAXIES, AND THE VERY FABRIC OF SPACETIME. UNDERSTANDING THE STARK DIFFERENCES AND THE ONGOING QUEST TO UNIFY THEM IS CRUCIAL FOR GRASPING THE UNIVERSE'S FUNDAMENTAL WORKINGS. THIS ARTICLE DELVES INTO THE CORE PRINCIPLES OF QUANTUM MECHANICS AND EINSTEIN'S THEORIES OF RELATIVITY, EXPLORES THEIR DISTINCT DOMAINS OF APPLICABILITY, HIGHLIGHTS THE PARADOXES THAT ARISE WHEN ATTEMPTING TO BRIDGE THEM, AND DISCUSSES THE EXCITING FRONTIERS OF RESEARCH AIMED AT FORGING A UNIFIED THEORY. PREPARE TO JOURNEY FROM THE INFINITESIMALLY TINY TO THE INFINITELY VAST AS WE DISSECT THE FASCINATING DICHOTOMY OF QUANTUM PHYSICS AND RELATIVITY.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

WHAT IS QUANTUM PHYSICS?
CORE PRINCIPLES OF QUANTUM MECHANICS
WHAT IS RELATIVITY?
SPECIAL RELATIVITY: THE SPEED OF LIGHT AND SPACETIME
GENERAL RELATIVITY: GRAVITY AS SPACETIME CURVATURE
THE FUNDAMENTAL DIFFERENCES: SCALE AND DETERMINISM
WHERE QUANTUM PHYSICS AND RELATIVITY CLASH
THE PROBLEM OF QUANTUM GRAVITY
BRIDGING THE GAP: EMERGING THEORIES
THE FUTURE OF PHYSICS

WHAT IS QUANTUM PHYSICS?

QUANTUM PHYSICS, ALSO KNOWN AS QUANTUM MECHANICS, IS THE BEDROCK OF OUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE UNIVERSE AT ITS MOST FUNDAMENTAL LEVEL – THE REALM OF ATOMS AND THE PARTICLES THAT COMPOSE THEM. IT'S A WORLD WHERE INTUITION OFTEN TAKES A BACKSEAT TO BIZARRE, COUNTER-INTUITIVE PHENOMENA. THINK OF IT AS THE RULEBOOK FOR THE INCREDIBLY SMALL, DICTATING HOW LIGHT, MATTER, AND THEIR INTERACTIONS BEHAVE. UNLIKE THE PREDICTABLE, DETERMINISTIC WORLD WE EXPERIENCE MACROSCOPICALLY, QUANTUM PHYSICS OPERATES ON PROBABILITIES AND UNCERTAINTY. IT'S THE THEORY THAT EXPLAINS EVERYTHING FROM THE WAY YOUR SMARTPHONE WORKS TO THE LIGHT EMITTED BY STARS.

CORE PRINCIPLES OF QUANTUM MECHANICS

AT THE HEART OF QUANTUM MECHANICS LIE SEVERAL GROUNDBREAKING CONCEPTS THAT FUNDAMENTALLY ALTER OUR PERCEPTION OF REALITY. ONE OF THE MOST FAMOUS IS **QUANTIZATION**, WHICH SUGGESTS THAT CERTAIN PHYSICAL PROPERTIES, LIKE ENERGY AND MOMENTUM, DON'T EXIST IN A CONTINUOUS SPECTRUM BUT RATHER IN DISCRETE PACKETS OR "QUANTA." IMAGINE A RAMP VERSUS A STAIRCASE; QUANTUM PROPERTIES ARE MORE LIKE A STAIRCASE, WHERE YOU CAN ONLY BE ON A SPECIFIC STEP, NOT IN BETWEEN. ANOTHER KEY PRINCIPLE IS **WAVE-PARTICLE DUALITY**, ASSERTING THAT PARTICLES LIKE ELECTRONS AND PHOTONS CAN EXHIBIT BOTH WAVE-LIKE AND PARTICLE-LIKE BEHAVIOR SIMULTANEOUSLY. THIS MEANS AN ELECTRON, FOR EXAMPLE, CAN ACT LIKE A TINY BALL IN SOME EXPERIMENTS AND SPREAD OUT LIKE A WAVE IN OTHERS, A CONCEPT THAT BOGGLES THE MIND WHEN WE THINK OF EVERYDAY OBJECTS.

FURTHERMORE, THE **UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE**, FAMOUSLY ARTICULATED BY WERNER HEISENBERG, STATES THAT THERE ARE INHERENT LIMITS TO HOW PRECISELY WE CAN KNOW CERTAIN PAIRS OF PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF A PARTICLE AT THE SAME TIME. FOR INSTANCE, THE MORE ACCURATELY YOU KNOW A PARTICLE'S POSITION, THE LESS ACCURATELY YOU CAN KNOW ITS MOMENTUM, AND VICE VERSA. THIS ISN'T DUE TO LIMITATIONS IN OUR MEASURING DEVICES; IT'S A FUNDAMENTAL PROPERTY OF

NATURE ITSELF. FINALLY, **SUPERPOSITION** ALLOWS A QUANTUM SYSTEM TO EXIST IN MULTIPLE STATES SIMULTANEOUSLY UNTIL IT IS MEASURED. A CLASSIC ANALOGY IS SCHRÖDINGER'S CAT, WHICH IS BOTH ALIVE AND DEAD UNTIL THE BOX IS OPENED. THESE PRINCIPLES COLLECTIVELY PAINT A PICTURE OF A PROBABILISTIC AND INHERENTLY FUZZY UNIVERSE AT ITS SMALLEST SCALES.

WHAT IS RELATIVITY?

RELATIVITY, PRIMARILY FORMULATED BY ALBERT EINSTEIN, IS THE THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK THAT DESCRIBES GRAVITY AND THE BEHAVIOR OF OBJECTS MOVING AT HIGH SPEEDS, APPROACHING THE SPEED OF LIGHT. IT REVOLUTIONIZED OUR UNDERSTANDING OF SPACE, TIME, AND GRAVITY, DEMONSTRATING THAT THEY ARE NOT ABSOLUTE BUT ARE INTERTWINED AND DYNAMIC ENTITIES. RELATIVITY COMES IN TWO MAIN FLAVORS: SPECIAL RELATIVITY AND GENERAL RELATIVITY. SPECIAL RELATIVITY DEALS WITH SPACE AND TIME IN THE ABSENCE OF GRAVITY, WHILE GENERAL RELATIVITY INCORPORATES GRAVITY AS A CONSEQUENCE OF THE CURVATURE OF SPACETIME. THESE THEORIES HAVE BEEN INSTRUMENTAL IN EXPLAINING PHENOMENA FROM THE ORBITS OF PLANETS TO THE EXISTENCE OF BLACK HOLES.

SPECIAL RELATIVITY: THE SPEED OF LIGHT AND SPACETIME

EINSTEIN'S SPECIAL THEORY OF RELATIVITY, PUBLISHED IN 1905, IS BUILT UPON TWO FUNDAMENTAL POSTULATES. THE FIRST IS THE PRINCIPLE OF RELATIVITY ITSELF: THE LAWS OF PHYSICS ARE THE SAME FOR ALL NON-ACCELERATING OBSERVERS. THE SECOND, AND PERHAPS MORE MIND-BENDING, POSTULATE IS THAT THE SPEED OF LIGHT IN A VACUUM IS CONSTANT FOR ALL OBSERVERS, REGARDLESS OF THEIR MOTION OR THE MOTION OF THE LIGHT SOURCE. THIS SEEMINGLY SIMPLE IDEA HAS PROFOUND IMPLICATIONS. IT LEADS TO CONCEPTS LIKE **TIME DILATION**, WHERE TIME PASSES MORE SLOWLY FOR A MOVING OBSERVER COMPARED TO A STATIONARY ONE, AND **LENGTH CONTRACTION**, WHERE OBJECTS APPEAR SHORTER IN THEIR DIRECTION OF MOTION. IT ALSO FAMOUSLY INTRODUCED THE EQUIVALENCE OF MASS AND ENERGY THROUGH THE ICONIC EQUATION $E=mc^2$, REVEALING THAT MASS CAN BE CONVERTED INTO ENERGY AND VICE VERSA.

GENERAL RELATIVITY: GRAVITY AS SPACETIME CURVATURE

BUILDING UPON SPECIAL RELATIVITY, EINSTEIN'S GENERAL THEORY OF RELATIVITY, PUBLISHED IN 1915, PRESENTED A RADICAL NEW UNDERSTANDING OF GRAVITY. INSTEAD OF A MYSTERIOUS FORCE PULLING OBJECTS TOGETHER, GENERAL RELATIVITY DESCRIBES GRAVITY AS A CONSEQUENCE OF THE CURVATURE OF SPACETIME. IMAGINE SPACETIME AS A STRETCHED RUBBER SHEET; MASSIVE OBJECTS, LIKE PLANETS OR STARS, CREATE DIPS OR CURVES IN THIS SHEET. OTHER OBJECTS, FOLLOWING THE STRAIGHTEST POSSIBLE PATH (CALLED A GEODESIC) IN THIS CURVED SPACETIME, APPEAR TO BE PULLED TOWARDS THE MASSIVE OBJECT. THIS THEORY ACCURATELY PREDICTS PHENOMENA LIKE THE BENDING OF LIGHT BY GRAVITY, THE PRECESSION OF MERCURY'S ORBIT, AND THE EXISTENCE OF GRAVITATIONAL WAVES – RIPPLES IN SPACETIME CAUSED BY MASSIVE ACCELERATING OBJECTS. IT'S THE THEORY THAT GOVERNS THE LARGE-SCALE STRUCTURE AND EVOLUTION OF THE UNIVERSE.

THE FUNDAMENTAL DIFFERENCES: SCALE AND DETERMINISM

THE MOST STRIKING DIVERGENCE BETWEEN QUANTUM PHYSICS AND RELATIVITY LIES IN THEIR RESPECTIVE DOMAINS AND THEIR FUNDAMENTAL PHILOSOPHICAL UNDERPINNINGS. QUANTUM MECHANICS REIGNS SUPREME IN THE MICROSCOPIC WORLD, DESCRIBING THE PROBABILISTIC NATURE OF SUBATOMIC PARTICLES AND THEIR INTERACTIONS. IT'S A REALM OF INHERENT UNCERTAINTY, WHERE PROBABILITIES DICTATE OUTCOMES, AND PARTICLES CAN EXIST IN MULTIPLE STATES SIMULTANEOUSLY. IN STARK CONTRAST, RELATIVITY, PARTICULARLY GENERAL RELATIVITY, EXCELS IN THE MACROSCOPIC UNIVERSE, DESCRIBING THE DETERMINISTIC BEHAVIOR OF MASSIVE OBJECTS AND THE SMOOTH, CONTINUOUS FABRIC OF SPACETIME. WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF GENERAL RELATIVITY, IF YOU KNOW THE INITIAL CONDITIONS, YOU CAN PREDICT THE FUTURE TRAJECTORY OF A PLANET WITH GREAT ACCURACY. THIS DIFFERENCE IN DETERMINISM IS A MAJOR POINT OF CONCEPTUAL TENSION.

FURTHERMORE, THE SCALES AT WHICH THESE THEORIES OPERATE ARE VASTLY DIFFERENT. QUANTUM PHENOMENA ARE RELEVANT AT ATOMIC AND SUBATOMIC DISTANCES, WHERE THE EFFECTS OF GRAVITY ARE NEGLIGIBLE. RELATIVITY, ON THE OTHER HAND, IS CRUCIAL FOR UNDERSTANDING OBJECTS WITH SIGNIFICANT MASS OR ENERGY, AND ITS EFFECTS BECOME PRONOUNCED AT COSMIC SCALES, WHERE QUANTUM EFFECTS ARE GENERALLY AVERAGED OUT. THIS SEPARATION OF SCALES HAS ALLOWED BOTH THEORIES TO BE INCREDIBLY SUCCESSFUL WITHIN THEIR OWN DOMAINS, BUT IT ALSO CREATES A SIGNIFICANT CHALLENGE WHEN WE TRY TO DESCRIBE PHENOMENA THAT INVOLVE BOTH EXTREME GRAVITY AND QUANTUM EFFECTS.

WHERE QUANTUM PHYSICS AND RELATIVITY CLASH

THE TRUE FRICTION BETWEEN QUANTUM PHYSICS AND RELATIVITY EMERGES WHEN WE PROBE SCENARIOS WHERE BOTH THEORIES SHOULD BE APPLICABLE, SUCH AS THE CONDITIONS INSIDE A BLACK HOLE OR THE VERY FIRST MOMENTS OF THE UNIVERSE AFTER THE BIG BANG. IN THESE EXTREME ENVIRONMENTS, THE SMOOTH, CONTINUOUS SPACETIME DESCRIBED BY GENERAL RELATIVITY IS THOUGHT TO BREAK DOWN, AND QUANTUM EFFECTS ARE EXPECTED TO BECOME DOMINANT. HOWEVER, OUR CURRENT MATHEMATICAL FRAMEWORKS FOR QUANTUM MECHANICS AND GENERAL RELATIVITY ARE FUNDAMENTALLY INCOMPATIBLE. FOR INSTANCE, GENERAL RELATIVITY TREATS SPACETIME AS A SMOOTH CONTINUUM, WHILE QUANTUM FIELD THEORY SUGGESTS THAT SPACETIME ITSELF MIGHT BE QUANTIZED AT VERY SMALL SCALES, LEADING TO A "FOAMY" STRUCTURE.

ONE OF THE MOST GLARING CLASHES IS THE CONCEPT OF SINGULARITIES. GENERAL RELATIVITY PREDICTS SINGULARITIES AT THE CENTER OF BLACK HOLES AND AT THE BEGINNING OF THE UNIVERSE – POINTS OF INFINITE DENSITY AND CURVATURE. HOWEVER, INFINITIES ARE GENERALLY A SIGN THAT A THEORY IS BREAKING DOWN, AND PHYSICISTS BELIEVE THAT A QUANTUM THEORY OF GRAVITY IS NEEDED TO PROVIDE A MORE COMPLETE AND SENSIBLE DESCRIPTION OF THESE EXTREME REGIONS. THE UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE ALSO CREATES PROBLEMS WHEN TRYING TO RECONCILE THE TWO THEORIES. IF SPACETIME ITSELF IS SUBJECT TO QUANTUM FLUCTUATIONS, THEN EVEN THE GEOMETRY OF SPACETIME WOULD BE UNCERTAIN, SOMETHING THAT GENERAL RELATIVITY, AS A CLASSICAL THEORY, DOES NOT ACCOUNT FOR.

THE PROBLEM OF QUANTUM GRAVITY

THE QUEST TO UNIFY QUANTUM MECHANICS AND GENERAL RELATIVITY INTO A SINGLE, COHERENT THEORY IS ONE OF THE MOST SIGNIFICANT UNSOLVED PROBLEMS IN PHYSICS. THIS HYPOTHETICAL THEORY IS OFTEN REFERRED TO AS **QUANTUM GRAVITY**. THE CORE CHALLENGE LIES IN FINDING A MATHEMATICAL FRAMEWORK THAT CAN SEAMLESSLY DESCRIBE BOTH THE DISCRETE, PROBABILISTIC NATURE OF QUANTUM PHENOMENA AND THE CONTINUOUS, DETERMINISTIC DESCRIPTION OF GRAVITY PROVIDED BY GENERAL RELATIVITY. WITHOUT A THEORY OF QUANTUM GRAVITY, WE ARE UNABLE TO FULLY UNDERSTAND THE MOST EXTREME ENVIRONMENTS IN THE UNIVERSE, SUCH AS THE INTERIOR OF BLACK HOLES OR THE MOMENTS IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE BIG BANG, WHERE BOTH QUANTUM EFFECTS AND STRONG GRAVITATIONAL FIELDS ARE PRESENT.

THE ABSENCE OF EXPERIMENTAL EVIDENCE IS ANOTHER MAJOR HURDLE. THE ENERGY SCALES AT WHICH QUANTUM GRAVITY EFFECTS ARE EXPECTED TO BECOME SIGNIFICANT ARE FAR BEYOND WHAT OUR CURRENT PARTICLE ACCELERATORS CAN ACHIEVE. THIS MEANS THAT ANY PROPOSED THEORY OF QUANTUM GRAVITY MUST BE DEVELOPED PRIMARILY THROUGH THEORETICAL REASONING AND MATHEMATICAL CONSISTENCY. PHYSICISTS ARE EXPLORING VARIOUS AVENUES, EACH WITH ITS OWN SET OF COMPLEX MATHEMATICS AND CONCEPTUAL CHALLENGES. THE GOAL IS TO FIND A THEORY THAT NOT ONLY RESOLVES THE CURRENT INCONSISTENCIES BUT ALSO MAKES NEW, TESTABLE PREDICTIONS ABOUT THE UNIVERSE.

BRIDGING THE GAP: EMERGING THEORIES

DESPITE THE IMMENSE CHALLENGES, SEVERAL PROMISING THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS ARE BEING DEVELOPED IN THE HOPE OF BRIDGING THE DIVIDE BETWEEN QUANTUM PHYSICS AND RELATIVITY. ONE OF THE MOST PROMINENT IS **STRING THEORY**. IN THIS MODEL, FUNDAMENTAL PARTICLES ARE NOT POINT-LIKE BUT RATHER TINY, VIBRATING STRINGS. DIFFERENT VIBRATION MODES OF THESE STRINGS CORRESPOND TO DIFFERENT PARTICLES, AND INTRIGUINGLY, ONE OF THESE VIBRATION MODES NATURALLY CORRESPONDS TO THE GRAVITON, THE HYPOTHETICAL PARTICLE THAT MEDIATES THE FORCE OF GRAVITY. STRING THEORY, IN ITS VARIOUS FORMS, REQUIRES MORE THAN THE FOUR DIMENSIONS OF SPACETIME WE OBSERVE, SUGGESTING THE EXISTENCE OF

EXTRA, COMPACTIFIED DIMENSIONS.

ANOTHER LEADING CONTENDER IS **LOOP QUANTUM GRAVITY (LQG)**. INSTEAD OF QUANTIZING MATTER FIELDS IN A FIXED SPACETIME, LQG ATTEMPTS TO QUANTIZE SPACETIME ITSELF. IT SUGGESTS THAT SPACETIME IS NOT CONTINUOUS BUT IS COMPOSED OF DISCRETE "LOOPS" OR QUANTA OF SPACE. THIS APPROACH OFFERS A DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVE ON THE FUNDAMENTAL NATURE OF SPACETIME AND GRAVITY, AIMING TO RESOLVE THE SINGULARITY PROBLEM BY PREVENTING INFINITE DENSITIES. OTHER APPROACHES INCLUDE CAUSAL SET THEORY, ASYMPTOTIC SAFETY, AND EMERGENT GRAVITY THEORIES, EACH OFFERING UNIQUE INSIGHTS AND MATHEMATICAL FORMALISMS TO TACKLE THE GRAND UNIFICATION CHALLENGE. THE ONGOING RESEARCH IN THESE AREAS SIGNIFIES A VIBRANT AND ACTIVE PURSUIT TO UNDERSTAND THE UNIVERSE AT ITS MOST FUNDAMENTAL LEVEL.

THE UNIVERSE, AS WE UNDERSTAND IT THROUGH THE LENS OF SCIENCE, PRESENTS US WITH TWO DISTINCT YET INCREDIBLY POWERFUL DESCRIPTIONS OF ITS WORKINGS: THE QUANTUM REALM OF THE INFINITESIMALLY SMALL AND THE RELATIVISTIC DOMAIN OF THE VAST AND MASSIVE. WHILE BOTH QUANTUM PHYSICS AND RELATIVITY HAVE ACHIEVED REMARKABLE SUCCESS WITHIN THEIR RESPECTIVE SPHERES, THE ONGOING PURSUIT OF A UNIFIED THEORY HIGHLIGHTS THE PROFOUND MYSTERIES THAT STILL LIE AT THE HEART OF COSMOLOGY AND PARTICLE PHYSICS. THE JOURNEY TO RECONCILE THESE TWO PILLARS OF MODERN PHYSICS CONTINUES, PROMISING TO UNLOCK EVEN DEEPER SECRETS ABOUT THE NATURE OF REALITY ITSELF.

Q: WHAT IS THE MOST FUNDAMENTAL DIFFERENCE BETWEEN QUANTUM PHYSICS AND RELATIVITY?

A: THE MOST FUNDAMENTAL DIFFERENCE LIES IN THEIR SCOPE AND THE NATURE OF REALITY THEY DESCRIBE. QUANTUM PHYSICS GOVERNS THE PROBABILISTIC AND DISCRETE BEHAVIOR OF THE MICROSCOPIC WORLD, WHILE RELATIVITY DESCRIBES THE DETERMINISTIC AND CONTINUOUS NATURE OF GRAVITY AND SPACETIME AT MACROSCOPIC SCALES.

Q: CAN QUANTUM PHYSICS EXPLAIN GRAVITY?

A: CURRENTLY, NO. QUANTUM PHYSICS, IN ITS STANDARD FORMULATION, DOES NOT INCORPORATE GRAVITY. THE THEORIES CLASH WHEN TRYING TO DESCRIBE PHENOMENA WHERE BOTH QUANTUM EFFECTS AND STRONG GRAVITY ARE SIGNIFICANT, LEADING TO THE NEED FOR A THEORY OF QUANTUM GRAVITY.

Q: CAN RELATIVITY EXPLAIN THE BEHAVIOR OF ATOMS?

A: NO, RELATIVITY IS NOT DESIGNED TO EXPLAIN THE BEHAVIOR OF ATOMS. ITS PRINCIPLES ARE MOST RELEVANT FOR OBJECTS WITH SIGNIFICANT MASS OR ENERGY AND AT HIGH SPEEDS, WHEREAS ATOMIC BEHAVIOR IS GOVERNED BY QUANTUM MECHANICS.

Q: WHAT DOES $E=mc^2$ FROM SPECIAL RELATIVITY IMPLY?

A: THE FAMOUS EQUATION $E=mc^2$ FROM SPECIAL RELATIVITY SIGNIFIES THE EQUIVALENCE OF MASS AND ENERGY. IT MEANS THAT MASS CAN BE CONVERTED INTO ENERGY AND VICE VERSA, A PRINCIPLE FUNDAMENTAL TO NUCLEAR REACTIONS AND THE ENERGY PRODUCTION OF STARS.

Q: WHY IS A THEORY OF QUANTUM GRAVITY NEEDED?

A: A THEORY OF QUANTUM GRAVITY IS NEEDED TO PROVIDE A CONSISTENT DESCRIPTION OF PHENOMENA WHERE BOTH QUANTUM MECHANICS AND GENERAL RELATIVITY ARE RELEVANT, SUCH AS THE INTERIORS OF BLACK HOLES AND THE VERY EARLY UNIVERSE, AND TO RESOLVE THE INFINITIES PREDICTED BY GENERAL RELATIVITY AT SINGULARITIES.

Q: WHAT IS STRING THEORY TRYING TO ACHIEVE?

A: STRING THEORY IS AN ATTEMPT TO UNIFY QUANTUM MECHANICS AND GENERAL RELATIVITY BY PROPOSING THAT FUNDAMENTAL PARTICLES ARE NOT POINT-LIKE BUT ARE TINY, VIBRATING STRINGS. DIFFERENT VIBRATION MODES OF THESE STRINGS WOULD CORRESPOND TO DIFFERENT PARTICLES, INCLUDING THE GRAVITON, THE CARRIER OF THE GRAVITATIONAL FORCE.

Q: HOW DOES GENERAL RELATIVITY DESCRIBE GRAVITY?

A: GENERAL RELATIVITY DESCRIBES GRAVITY NOT AS A FORCE, BUT AS THE CURVATURE OF SPACETIME CAUSED BY THE PRESENCE OF MASS AND ENERGY. OBJECTS FOLLOW THE CURVES IN SPACETIME, WHICH WE PERCEIVE AS GRAVITATIONAL ATTRACTION.

Q: WHAT IS THE UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE IN QUANTUM PHYSICS?

A: THE UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE STATES THAT THERE ARE INHERENT LIMITS TO HOW PRECISELY CERTAIN PAIRS OF PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF A PARTICLE, SUCH AS ITS POSITION AND MOMENTUM, CAN BE KNOWN SIMULTANEOUSLY. THE MORE PRECISELY ONE IS KNOWN, THE LESS PRECISELY THE OTHER CAN BE KNOWN.

Quantum Physics Vs Relativity

Quantum Physics Vs Relativity

Related Articles

- [quantum physics videos](#)
- [quantum physics and islam](#)
- [physics with python](#)

[Back to Home](#)